

“ Judge shall be faithful to the law...”

Cal Code Jud Ethics 3B(2)

“The rule of law must never be confused with tyranny of the courts”

Anonymous

9d) Dockets of 6 Petitions Filed with California Court of Appeal, 2nd District

The “cult of criminality” in the justice system of LA County in full view:

The Court was reliably informed of the abuse step by step, through six petitions, and watched the criminal conduct of the judges, and summarily denied the petitions one by one.

Was it for fun? Was it for profit? Surely it wasn't for the furtherance of justice...

*There is no explanation for the conduct of such judges, except for the “cult of criminality” reported in the **Blue Ribbon report** of 2006 (06-07-15)...*

Countrywide and Sandor Samuels corresponded with the court, since they wanted the court to enforce that I copy them on my filings but did not want to be listed as a party... same arrangement as they had in LA Sup Ct. The Court of Appeal docketed my phone calls, but it corresponded with Countrywide, and refused to include such correspondence in the docket.

Eventually Countrywide was listed a Party in Interest.¹ In some of the petitions, but when it came to the appeal, the court refused to list countrywide, or Coldwell banker for that matter... or the LA Sup Ct...

Below are copies of the online dockets with comments added in marron.

	Date	Description	Notes
I.	PETITION I; (# B199661); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE JACQUELINE CONNOR FOR WRIT OF MANDATE; SUBJECT: FRAUD IN DENIAL OF EXTENDING DISCOVERY CUT OFF DATE TO MATCH TRIAL DATE. Judge Connor pretended in open court that the then valid trial date was set half a year earlier, and I was not timely. In fact, she continued trial date a couple of times in the previous 4 weeks, to suit plaintiff. Only months later, when I managed to figure out some of the details of the electronic court file, I realized that it was a double fraud... The trial date was supposed to be set first in Nov 9, 2996, in Trial Setting conference. However, like all other litigation landmarks that require calendaring, it was a “proceeding off the record”. Therefore, on May 22, 2007, when Judge Connor claimed that it stated 11-09 in the system she was double lying, since she had never entered any trial date in the system at all. Under Disposition page: “Petition summarily denied by order.”		
1.	06/11/2007	Filed petition for writ of:	MANDATE Exhibits 1-10
2.	06/11/2007	Filed document entitled:	Verification to Petition for Writ of Mandate
3.	06/28/2007	Order denying petition filed.	
4.	06/28/2007	Case complete.	
II.	PETITION II; (B204029); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE JOHN SEGAL FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE; SUBJECT: FRAUD IN ORDER OF 11/9/07 FOR APPOINTMENT OF PASTERNAK RECEIVER FOR EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT, BUT OMITTING THE JUDGMENT FROM THE ORDER. Under Disposition page: “Petition summarily denied by order.” [!-jz]		
1.	11/29/2007	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate/Prohibition/Immediate Stay Requested/(Exhibits Attached)
2.	11/29/2007	Order filed.	Denying petitioner's request for stay
3.	11/30/2007	Filed declaration of:	Petitioner; regarding uncertified reporter's transcripts in petition.
4.	12/04/2007	Request for judicial notice filed.	by Pet. Joseph Zernik's

5.	12/06/2007	Order denying petition filed.	The court also takes judicial notice of its file in Zernik v. Superior Court (B204029).
6.	12/06/2007	Case complete.	
III.	<p>PETITION III; (B204164); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE JOHN SEGAL FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE & PROHIBITION; SUBJECT: TO STAY PASTERNAK RECEIVER FOR EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT, BUT WITH NO JUDGMENT... Under Disposition page: "Petition summarily denied by order." [-jz] At the time the petition was filed, Segal was already recused, but the minute order was not noticed. And there were no assignment orders for the next judges either, therefore, I could not know that he was no longer presiding..</p>		
1.	12/06/2007	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate/Prohibition/Immediate Stay Requested/Exhibits Attached
2.	12/06/2007	Order filed.	Denying petitioner's request for immediate stay
3.	12/12/2007	Order denying petition filed.	
4.	12/12/2007	Case complete.	
IV.	<p>PETITION IV; (B205195); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE TERRY FRIEDMAN FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE & PROHIBITION; SUBJECT: TO STAY EX POST FACTO ORDER AND SANCTIONS, OSC Under Disposition page: "Petition summarily denied by order." [-jz]</p>		
1.	01/24/2008	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate / Prohibition / Stay Requested 1 Volume Of Exhibits Under A Separate Cover.
2.	01/25/2008	Order filed.	Denying petitioner's request for stay
3.	01/31/2008	Order denying petition filed.	
4.	01/31/2008	Case complete.	
5.	02/05/2008	Mail returned, unable to forward.	Denial of stay order dated 1-25-08 addressed to CA Attorney General in Cupertino, CA. Returned "no such street"
6.	02/14/2008	Mail returned, unable to forward.	Writ denial order addressed to Attorney General in Cupertino, CA. Returned as "no such street".
V.	<p>PETITION V; (B 205686); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE TERRY FRIEDMAN FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE & PROHIBITION; SUBJECT: FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS Under Disposition page: "Petition summarily denied by order." [-jz]</p>		
1.	02/13/2008	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate / Prohibition / 1 Volume Of Exhibits Under A Separate Cover.
2.	02/22/2008	Filed document entitled:	Addendum/update for writ of mandate. One volume of exhibits.
3.	03/04/2008	Filed document entitled:	by petitioner/addendum for February 12 and 14 writs of mandate
4.	03/06/2008	Order denying petition filed.	
5.	03/06/2008	Case complete.	
VI.	<p>PETITION VI; (B205737); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE TERRY FRIEDMAN FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE & PROHIBITION; SUBJECT: FOR ABUSE OF CIVIL RIGHTS Under Disposition page: "Petition summarily denied by order." [-jz] There is no honest explanation why the judges held my funds after the sale of the property.</p>		
1.	02/14/2008	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate/ Exhibits Filed (1 vol.)
2.	02/14/2008	Filed document entitled:	request for incorporation by reference in 02/14/08 writ petition - for access to public records of exhibits already filed in support of 02/13/08 writ petition - for release of funds
3.	02/20/2008	Filed document entitled:	Addendum/update for writ of mandate. One volume of exhibits.
4.	03/04/2008	Filed document entitled:	Addendum to petition for writ of mandate and prayer for relief
5.	03/06/2008	Order denying petition filed.	

6.	03/06/2008	Case complete.	
VII.	PETITION VII.; (B206838); ZERNIK v SCLA ET AL. JUDGE TERRY FRIEDMAN FOR IMMEDIATE STAY & WRIT OF MANDATE & PROHIBITION; SUBJECT: ASKING THE JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, NOW THAT THEY WERE RELIABLY INFORMED THROUGH ALL THESE PETITIONS, TO ACT UPON THE CODE OF ETHICS, AND INITIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION. Under Disposition page: "Petition summarily denied by order." [!-jz]		
1.	04/01/2008	Filed petition for writ of:	Mandate
2.	04/01/2008	Reporter's transcript filed.	1 vol rt
3.	04/02/2008	Certificate of interested entities or persons filed by:	petitioner
4.	04/02/2008	Exhibits filed in support of:	writ of mandate;1 vol
5.	04/04/2008	Filed document entitled:	Amended writ of petition: March 19, 2008 order striking petitioners 3-12-08 disqualification.
6.	04/04/2008	Filed document entitled:	Errata to document entitled Amended writ filed 4-4-08. by petitioner for statements on the record by the Justices of the Court of Appeal, division five, pursuant to CCJE Canon 3 (E) (2)
7.	05/01/2008	Request filed to:	
8.	05/09/2008	Order denying petition filed.	
9.	05/09/2008	Case complete.	