

**“ Judge shall be faithful to the law...”**

*Cal Code Jud Ethics 3B(2)*

**“The rule of law must never be confused with tyranny of the courts”**

*Anonymous*

Added 10/12/08 - incomplete

### ***9 c) California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District: Motion for First, Seventh, Fourteenth Amendments rights.<sup>1</sup>***

- A. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District like the LASC kept the interests of big corporations close to heart, at the expense of civil rights of the individual**

Refused to allow corporations to be listed as parties in the appeal, until after I decided to disengage from involvement in processes that are in violation of the law – deemed as default on opening brief by the Court of appeal.

- B. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, like the LA Sup Ct, abused my First Amendment/Common Law rights for access to inspect and to copy judicial records that are the Books of the Court of Appeal itself...**
- C. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, like the U.S. District Court, LA, was in collusion with the LA Sup Court relative to the hiding of the Book of Judgment, and engaged in severe abuse of my civil rights in denying my rights for access to my own litigation records, while scheduling a short term deadline for opening brief on appeal.**
- D. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, needs to provide some explanations for the manipulations of its dockets, for the keeping of double registers, and for the manner Zernik’ actions were indexed.**
- E. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, needs to provide some explanations relative to its access to Sustain, the case management system of the LA Sup Ct, with no notice to parties.**
- F. The California Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, needs to clarify why it had any authority at all in the purported Appeal from Aug 9<sup>th</sup>, 2007 Judgment by Court, pursuant to CCP §437c, while the evidence overwhelmingly shows that the judgment was never entered, and the three courts – LA Sup Ct, Cal Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District, and U.S. District Court, LA were engaging an individual in a fraudulent shell game, where they refuse to allow access to the mysterious Book of Judgments, claim that judgment was valid, while acting as if it were not.**

The online docket is false and misleading by listing “Judgment date” – similar to the ruling by Judge Virginia Phillips, that the LA Sup Court acted in copliance with the law relative to the “award” of judgment, instead of using the adequate legal term: entry of judgment.

- *What authority does the Calif court of Appeal has to issue a Remittitur?*
- *None for all practical purposes.*

---

<sup>1</sup> App 08-08-17 Motions filed with Cal Court of Appeal, 2<sup>nd</sup> District for safeguard of civil rights.